Tetrathiafulvalene (TTF)-Bridged Resorcin[4]arene Cavitands: Towards New Electrochemical Molecular Switches

by Markus Frei and Francois Diederich*

Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, ETH-Zürich, Hönggerberg, HCI, CH-8093 Zürich (e-mail: diederich@org.chem.ethz.ch)

and

Rolando Tremont, Tanya Rodriguez, and Luis Echegoyen*

Department of Chemistry, Clemson University, SC 29634 Clemson, USA

We report the synthesis of novel resorcin[4]arene-based cavitands featuring two extended bridges consisting of quinoxaline-fused TTF (tetrathiafulvalene) moieties. In the neutral form, these cavitands were expected to adopt the *vase* form, whereas, upon oxidation, the open kite geometry should be preferred due to *Coulombic* repulsion between the two TTF radical cations (*Scheme 2*). The key step in the preparation of these novel molecular switches was the $P(OEt)_{3}$ -mediated coupling between a macrocyclic bis(1,3-dithiol-2-thione) and 2 equiv. of a suitable 1,3-dithiol-2-one. Following the successful application of this strategy to the preparation of mono-TTF-cavitand 3 (Scheme 3), the synthesis of the bis-TTF derivatives 2 (Scheme 4) and 19 (Scheme 5) was pursued; however, the target compounds could not be isolated due to their insolubility. Upon decorating both the octol bowl and the TTF cavity rims with long alkyl chains, the soluble bis-TTF cavitand 23 was finally obtained, besides a minor amount of the novel cage compound 25a featuring a highly distorted TTF bridge (Scheme 6). In contrast to 25a, the deep cavitand 23 undergoes reversible vase \rightarrow kite switching upon lowering the temperature from 293 to 193 K (Fig. 1). Electrochemical studies by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) provided preliminary evidence for successful vase \rightarrow kite switching of 23 induced by the oxidation of the TTF cavity walls.

1. Introduction. – Quinoxaline-bridged resorcin[4]arene-based cavitands such as 1 [1] can be reversibly switched, under the influence of various external stimuli, between a closed vase form, capable of guest inclusion [2] [3], and an open kite form, featuring an extended flattened surface [1] (*Scheme 1*). The *vase* conformer is prevalent at room temperature at neutral pH, whereas the *kite* geometry is predominant at low temperatures (\leq 213 K) [1], upon protonation with acids such as CF $_{3}$ COOH (TFA) [4], or in the presence of Zn^{II} ions [5]. At low temperature, solvation of the more extended surface stabilizes the *kite* geometry, whereas, at higher temperature, the entropic term $T\Delta S_{\text{eole}}$ becomes unfavorable, and the vase conformation is dominant [1]. More recent investigations also showed that suitably sized solvent molecules (such as small benzene derivatives) favorably solvate (stabilize) the vase form and reduce the propensity for vase \rightarrow kite transition [6]. On the other hand, the kite conformation is additionally stabilized by solvents with substantial H-bonding acidity: weak H-bonding interactions between the mildly basic quinoxaline N-atoms, and solvent molecules are more efficient in the open kite than in the closed vase form [6b]. Acid-induced switching from the vase to the kite

© 2006 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

form is attributed to protonation of the mildly basic quinoxaline N-atoms in 1, resulting in electrostatic repulsion between the cationic cavitand walls in the vase form [4]. This switching is reversed upon addition of base. Noticeably, both partially and differentially bridged resorcin^[4] arene cavitands have been shown to undergo vase \rightarrow kite conformational switching [7] (for a review, see [8]).

Scheme 1. Temperature- or pH-Triggered Conformational vase \rightleftharpoons kite Equilibration of Quinoxaline-Bridged Resorcin[4]arene 1

Here, we report the synthesis and conformational switching properties of novel resorcin[4]arene-based cavitands featuring two extended bridges consisting of quinoxaline-fused TTF (=tetrathiafulvalene) moieties, such as in 2 (Scheme 2) [9]. Our aim was to realize for the first time vase \rightleftharpoons kite interconversion under the stimulus of electrochemical electron-transfer processes (for examples of TTF-based electrochemical switches see [10]). In the neutral form, cavitand 2 was expected to adopt the vase form (for another deep cavitand with expanded cavity walls, see [11]), whereas, upon oxidation to the bis(TTF radical cation) or the bis(TTF dication), the open *kite* geometry should be preferred due to Coulombic repulsion between the two cationic wall flaps in the *vase* form. Upon electrochemical reduction, the initial *vase* form should be regained (for molecular switches, see [12]).

2. Results and Discussion. – 2.1. Synthesis of Mono-TTF Cavitand 3. We first approached the synthesis of mono-TTF-cavitand 3 (Scheme 3) to develop the synthetic strategy that would subsequently enable the preparation of the desired bis-TTF derivative 2. The most common protocol for the synthesis of 'asymmetric' TTF derivatives is the coupling of two 1,3-dithiol-2-thiones or 1,3-dithiol-2-ones [13] in the presence of trialkyl or triaryl phosphites or phosphines [14] (for a mechanistic proposal, see [15]). Hence, our route towards mono-TTF-cavitand 3 involved the macrocyclic 1,3-dithiol-2-thione 4 as an intermediate, which we intended to couple with 1,3-benzodithiol-2 one (5) [16] (*Scheme 3*). The preparation of 4 was envisaged by bridging the two free phenolic OH groups of cavitand 6 [2b] [7b] with dichloroquinoxaline-fused 1,3 dithiol-2-thione 7.

The synthesis of the key building block 7 started from bis-thiocyanate 8, which was obtained in 57% yield from benzene-1,2-diamine by oxidative thiocyanation using Br_2 and KSCN in MeOH [17] (Scheme 3). Reductive cleavage of the thiocyanate substituScheme 2. Electrochemically Induced vase \rightarrow kite Switching of Bis-TTF Cavitand 2. TTF=tetrathiafulvalene.

ents $[18]$, followed by condensation with CS_2 , afforded the desired 1,3-dithiol-2-thione 9 (52%) together with thiourea side product 10 (16%). Subsequent bridging of the 1,2 diamino groups in 9 with diethyl oxalate [19] provided the dihydroquinoxaline-dione 11 in 90% yield. Halogenation under aromatization to 7 with $S OCl₂$ at 75 $^{\circ}$ [20] proceeded in only 25% yield. The yield was substantially improved (56%) by using phosgene at room temperature.

Cavitand 6 was subsequently bridged under standard conditions (Cs_2CO_3) , $Me₃SO[1] [6] [7]$ with dichloroquinoxaline 7 to afford the macrocyclic bis(1.3-dithiol-2-thione) 4 in 74% yield. Subsequent coupling of 4 with dithiolone 5 (fourfold excess) in the presence of $P(OEt)$, led to the targeted TTF cavitand 3, which was isolated as a red solid in 9% yield besides dibenzo-fused TTF 12 as the major product (42% yield). Products from homo-coupling of 4 were not observed in the conversion, which required addition of toluene to enhance the solubility of the macrocyclic dithiolone. The structure of 3 was established by 1 H- and 13 C-NMR spectroscopy as well as by high-resolution matrix-assisted laser-desorption-ionization mass spectrometry (HR-MALDI-MS; matrix: 3-hydroxypicolinic acid (3-HPA)), which showed the protonated molecular ion as base peak at m/z 1555.5180 ([$M + H$]⁺, C₉₂H₈₃N₈O₈S₄⁺; calc. 1555.5211).

2.2. Synthesis of Bis-TTF Cavitands. In analogy to the preparation of 3, the anti-bis-(quinoxaline)-bridged resorcin[4]arene 13 [7] [21a] was reacted with 2 equiv. of 7 to give cavitand 14 (56%; Scheme 4). The coupling of 14 with benzo-1,3-dithiol-2-one $(5, 6)$ equiv.) produced the desired target molecule 2 as revealed by HR-MALDI-MS (matrix: 3-HPA) after workup. The parent peak in the spectrum was the protonated molecular ion at m/z 1782.422 ($[M+H]^+$, $C_{100}H_{85}N_8O_8S_8$; calc. 1782.429). However, the solubility of 2 in all common organic solvents was extremely low, and isolation in pure form could not be accomplished. While the viability of the synthetic strategy towards bis-TTF cavitands was unambiguously established with the mass-spectrometric detection of 2, changes in functionalization were clearly required to obtain a system with sufficient solubility for isolation and subsequent physical study.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of the Mono-TTF Cavitand 3

a) Na₂S·9 H₂O, H₂O, 70°, 1 h. b) CS₂, 50°, 2 h; 52% (9), 16% (10). c) (COOEt)₂, 165°, 16 h; 90%. d) $COCI₂$, DMF, toluene, 20°, 3 d; 56%. e) Cs₂CO₃, Me₂SO, 50°, 2 d; 74%. *f*) P(OEt)₃, toluene, 130[°], 6 h; 9% (3), 42% (12).

A first approach to enhance the solubility consisted in enlarging the size of the alkyl legs of the cavitand from C_6 to C_{11} chains. For this purpose, octol 15 was prepared (71%) by acid-catalyzed condensation (HCl/EtOH) of resorcinol with dodecanal, as previScheme 4. Synthesis of the Insoluble Bis-TTF Cavitand 2

a) 7, K₂CO₃, Me₂SO, 60°, 16 h; 56%. b) 5, P(OEt)₃, toluene, 130°, 16 h.

ously reported by Aoyama et al. [22] (Scheme 5). Bridging with 2,3-dichloroquinoxaline afforded cavitand 16 (73%). Selective removal of the two quinoxaline flaps in the antiposition by reaction with catechol (CsF/DMF) [21a] provided tetrol 17 in 58% yield. Subsequent bridging with 2 equiv. of 7 led to bis-dithiolthione 18 in 72% yield. $P(OEt)_{3}$ -mediated coupling of 18 with dithiolone 5 produced bis-TTF derivative 19 (HR-MALDI-MS). However, similar to the attempted preparation of 2, isolation of 19 was not successful due to low solubility. It, therefore, became apparent that functionalization of the upper rim of the cavitand was additionally required to provide sufficient solubility to the targeted bis-TTF cavitand.

We finally succeeded in producing a soluble target compound by adding two additional hexylthio chains to each of the two TTF moieties in the cavitand. The required starting dithiolone intermediate 20 was prepared by reduction of CS_2 with Na [23] to give the unstable intermediate dianionic 1,3-dithiol-2-one-4,5-thiolate [24], which was immediately converted into the Zn^{II} complex 21 (60% yield; Scheme 6). Subsequent alkylation with hexyl bromide [25] afforded dithiolthione 22, which was transformed with Hg(OAc)₂ into the desired dithiolone 20. P(OEt)₃-Mediated coupling of macrocyclic dithiolthione 18 with 20 (6 equiv.) provided the red-colored bis-TTF cavitand 23 (9%), besides the corresponding TTF derivative 24 as the major product (45%) and a third macrocyclic TTF derivative **25a** (\lt 1% yield), whose proposed structure will be further discussed below. Separation and purification of the three products required affinity chromatography on $SiO₂$ (CH₂Cl₂), followed by gel permeation chromatography (GPC, $Biobeads S-X1$; CH₂Cl₂).

The structure assigned to the targeted cavitand 23 was fully supported by spectroscopic analysis. The HR-MALDI-MS (3-HPA) depicted the molecular ion as the parent ion at *m/z* 2424.9562 (M^+ , $C_{136}H_{168}N_8O_8S_{12}^+$; calc. 2424.9633), with the notable absence of major fragment ions, confirming the stability of the macrocycle. The UV/VIS spectrum (CH₂Cl₂, 293 K) featured absorption bands at λ_{max} 269 (ε = 24200 l mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹), 320 (17500), and 463 nm (4400). The weak band at 463 nm is characteristic for TTF derivatives in the neutral (reduced) form [26] and confers the red color to 23. The ¹³C-NMR spectra (75 MHz, CDCl₃) confirmed the C_{2v} symmetry, with 17 (expected) resonances appearing in the aromatic and olefinic spectral region between 107.9 and

a) 2,3-Dichloroquinoxaline, K₂CO₃, Me₂SO, 60°, 16 h; 73%. *b*) Catechol, CsF, DMF, 80°, 45 min; 58%. c) 7, K₂CO₃, Me₂SO, 60°, 16 h; 72%. d) 5, P(OEt)₃, toluene, 130°, 16 h.

152.5 ppm. In the ¹H-NMR spectrum (300 MHz, $CDCl₃/CS₂ 1:1$) at 298 K, all aromatic resonances could be unambiguously assigned (*Fig. 1,a*). Moreover, the presence of two overlapping 'triplets' at 5.57 and 5.51 ppm, respectively, for the methine H-atoms H^a and H^b in the octol bowl clearly demonstrates the preference of the *vase* conformation at or above the room temperature [1].

Gratifyingly, the deep cavitand 23 undergoes vase \rightarrow kite switching upon cooling: at 193 K, the methine protons H^a and H^b appear strongly upfield-shifted, as a broad signal around 3.67 ppm (Fig. 1, b). This position is highly characteristic for the *kite* conformer Scheme 6. Synthesis of the Soluble Bis-TTF Cavitand 23

a) Na, DMF, 20°,16 h. b) ZnCl₂, NH₃/MeOH. c) Et₄NBr, H₂O, 20°, 16 h; 60% (steps a-c). d) Hexyl bromide, MeCN, 80°, 24 h; 81%. e) Hg(OAc)₂, CH₂Cl₂, 20°, 1 h; 81%. f) P(OEt)₃, toluene, 130°, 16 h; 9% (23), 45% (24), <1% (25a).

[1]. Acid (TFA)-induced switching of 23 is not advised in view of the instability of the TTF moieties in acidic environments. In contrast, both 1,3-dithiol-2-thione-fused cavitands 14 and 18 could be reversibly switched in CDCl₃ or CH_2Cl_2 from the vase to the kite form either by lowering the temperature or by addition of TFA [4].

With less than 1% yield, a second macrocyclic TTF derivative was isolated during the preparation of 23 (Scheme 6), and HR-MALDI-MS (3-HPA) suggested the formation of structure **25a** (m/z 1757.790 ($[M+H]^+$, $C_{106}H_{117}N_8O_8S_4^+$; calc. 1757.787), formed by intramolecular homo-coupling of bis(1,3-dithiol-2-thione) 18. This structural assignment was also supported by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy (not shown). Consequently, pure 14 (the analog of 18 with hexyl instead of undecyl legs) was subjected to $P(OEt)_{3}$ -mediated coupling in toluene (130 $^{\circ}$, 16 h), and chromatographic workup (SiO₂; CH₂Cl₂ followed by *BioBeads S-X1*, CH₂Cl₂) afforded pure **25b** in 8% yield. Computer modeling, using PM3 implemented in Spartan 02, suggested that the TTF moiety in 25a/25b would be highly strained $[27]$ (Fig. 2, a). The bent angle between the plane through the central TTF C=C bond, and each of the two planes encompassing the two S-atoms and the $C=C$ bond fused to the adjacent quinoxaline amounts to *ca*. 51° . However, such a distortion is not without precedence and *Müllen* and co-workers reported in 1988 cage compound 26 with a similarly bent TTF moiety [28] (for another strained cage compound, see [29]). X-Ray crystallography revealed a similar bent angle (as defined above) of 50 $^{\circ}$ for this stable compound (*Fig. 2,b*).

Fig. 2. a) Energy-minimized structure (PM3, Spartan 02) of the strained TFF cage 25. Alkyl legs are omitted for clarity. b) X-Ray crystal structure (CSD code 53228) of cage compound 26 [28a]. Color coding: C-atoms: grey; O-atoms: red; N-atoms: blue; S-atoms: yellow.

The ¹H-NMR spectrum (CDCl₃) confirms the C_{2v} -symmetric structure of **25b** (*Fig.*) 3). All aromatic resonances are fully assignable, and their positions further support the close proximity between quinoxaline flaps and bridging TTF moiety, suggested by the modeling. The signals of the resorcin^[4] arene H-atoms at C(1) and C(5) (see Fig. 3 for arbitrary numbering) appear only slightly shifted upon changing from 23 (8.19 and 7.17 ppm) to 25b (8.22 and 7.11 ppm). The resonance of the TTF-fused quinoxaline $(H-C(4))$ moves slightly upfield, from 7.49 (23) to 7.25 (25b) ppm. A remarkable downfield shift is seen for the resonances of $H-C(2)$ and $H-C(3)$ in the free quinoxa-

Fig. 3. $^1H\text{-}NMR$ Spectra (300 MHz) of 25b in CDCl₃ at 298 K. The aromatic resonances are enlarged and assigned. The weak peaks around 7.8 and 7.6 ppm belong to a non-identified impurity, which, according to MALDI-MS, is not the 'dimer' from intermolecular homo-coupling of two molecules of 14.

line flaps, from $ca. 7.80$ and 7.67 in 23 to $ca. 8.15$ and 8.04 ppm, respectively, in $25b$. This downfield shift presumably is a result of the anisotropic deshielding caused by the TTF bridge.

The 13 C-NMR spectrum (CDCl₃) depicts 15 resonances in the aromatic/olefinic range between 153.4 and 119.4 ppm, as expected for C_{2v} -symmetric 25b. Particularly revealing is the position of the central $C(sp^2)$ resonance in the bridging TTF moiety. In planar TTF derivatives such as 12 (110.8 ppm), 24 (110.4 ppm), or 23 (107.9 and 115.1 ppm), this signal appears around 110 ppm. In contrast, this resonance is substantially downfield shifted to 137.0 ppm in 25b, as a result of the strong pyramidalization of

the sp²-hybridized C-atom (similar to the curvature effects in fullerenes [30]). Notably, the corresponding signal in the strained TTF cage 26 (Fig. 2, a) also appears downfield shifted at 134.5 ppm [28a].

The bridging TTF moiety strongly enforces the vase conformation of 25b. On the other hand, cooling from 298 down to 193 K induces an upfield shift of the $H-C(6)$ resonance (numbering of Fig. 3) adjacent to the free quinoxaline flap from 5.71 to 5.42 ppm, while the signal of $H-C(7)$ remains unchanged at 5.57 ppm. The specific upfield shift of $H-C(6)$ suggests some degree of conformational change in the flexible, nonbridged parts of the molecule upon lowering the temperature, but not a transition towards an open kite geometry.

2.3. Electrochemical Investigations. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) studies were conducted in CH_2Cl_2 in the presence of Bu_4NPF_6 (0.1 M) . All potentials were referenced against the ferricinium/ferrocene (Fc⁺/Fc) couple. The CV and DPV traces of bis-TTF-cavitand 23 are shown in Fig. 4.

Compound 23 expectedly undergoes two reversible $2e^-$ oxidation steps, the first one leading to the bis(TTF radical cation) and the second one to the bis(TTF dication). The first redox couple shows a broad oxidation and a broad reduction peak with a half-wave potential $E_1^{1/2}$ = +0.26 V (ΔE = 99 mV). In contrast, the oxidation and reduction peaks of the second redox couple are much narrower with $E_2^{1/2} = +0.58$ V and $\Delta E = 60$ mV. For comparison, the parent tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) undergoes the two oxidation steps at $E_1^{1/2} = 0.03$ and $E_2^{1/2} = 0.40$ V under the same conditions [31]. Both the electron-accepting effect of the hexylsulfanyl substituents [32] and the fused quinoxaline render the two oxidation steps in 23 more difficult than in the parent TTF.

If two TTF moieties in a molecule are in sufficiently close proximity to each other, the first oxidation to the bis(radical cation) is split into two steps [33]. In this case, the electronic stabilization of the first-formed radical cation by the π electrons of the second TTF moiety reduces the potential of the first $1e^-$ oxidation step. Furthermore, the second $1e^-$ oxidation step becomes more difficult as a result of the proximity of the first-formed radical cation. If the distance between the two TTF moieties is slightly increased, the two $1e^{-}$ oxidation peaks merge into a single, broadened peak. If the distance is further increased, thereby eliminating any electronic coupling between the two TTF chromophores, a single, narrow peak for both $1e^-$ oxidations to the bis(radical cation) is observed. Such influences of the interactions between two TTF moieties on the electrochemical properties have most recently been described by Sallé and co-workers in the study of a calix[4]arene–bis-TTF conjugate [34].

Based on this reasoning, we propose an explanation for the observed broadening of the first and the sharpening of the second oxidation peaks $(Fig. 4)$. In the vase conformation, the two TTF moieties in 23 are sufficiently close to exhibit some degree of electronic coupling, which results in a broadened peak for the first $2e^-$ oxidation step to the bis(radical cation). Attempts to further resolve this broad peak by changing the experimental conditions (temperature, scan rate, nature of the working electrode (Pt, Au, glassy carbon)) were not successful.

On the other hand, the reversible second $2e^-$ oxidation step to the bis(TTF dication) suggests that the two chromophores are at larger distance and no longer in electronic communication. We take this as the first evidence for a possible electrochemically induced conformational switching from the vase to the kite form as a result of

Fig. 4. a) CV of 23 (0.5 mm) in CH₂Cl₂ (+0.1m Bu₄NPF₆) at 293 K. Scan rate: 100 mV/s. b) DPV of 23 under the same conditions. Scan rate: 4 mV/s.

the Coulombic repulsion between the two TTF radical cations. Additional experiments to validate the electrochemical vase \rightarrow kite conformational switching are under way.

3. Conclusions. – In this paper, we report new fascinating functional molecular architectures, merging TTF redox chemistry with the unique vase \rightarrow kite switching properties of bridged resorcin[4]arene cavitands. As in many projects targeting molecular nanoscale devices, solubility has been a serious issue and compound 23 required decoration both of the octol bowl and of the TTF cavity rims with long alkyl chains to become soluble in common organic solvents. The formation and isolation of cage compounds 25a/25b was quite unexpected, in view of their severely distorted TTF bridge. While their characterization and structural assignment are unambiguous, we became nevertheless more assured of the assigned structure when we found literature precedence by *Müllen* and co-workers for the similarly strained TTF cage 26 for which an X-ray crystal structure had been obtained [28]. Whereas the deep cavitand 23 undergoes reversible *vase* \rightarrow *kite* isomerization upon passing from 293 to 193 K, the strained, rigid TTF bridge in 25a/25b prevents such large conformational change. Preliminary evidence for the targeted vase \rightarrow kite switching of 23 induced by oxidation of the TTF cavity walls was obtained by electrochemical studies. Whereas the first $2e^-$ oxidation wave in CV and DPV is broadened, as a result of electronic coupling between the two TTF chromophores in the *vase* form, the second $2e^-$ oxidation step leads to a sharp wave, which would be expected if the two TTF radical cations are located at substantially larger distance, as in the kite form. Obviously, more experiments will be required to fully validate this hypothesis. Furthermore, future investigations will address the host–guest binding properties of the bis-TTF cavitands, and how complexation affects the redox processes.

We thank the Swiss National Science Foundation for support of this work via the National Research Program (NRP) 'Supramolecular Functional Materials' and the NCCR 'Nanoscale Science'. Support from the US National Science Foundation, grant CHE-0408367, is also gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr. C. Thilgen (ETH) for help with the nomenclature.

Experimental Part

General. Solvents and reagents were purchased reagent-grade and used without further purification (except for 2,3-dichloroquinoxaline, which was recrystallized from EtOH or MeOH). Solvents for extractions and chromatography were of technical grade and were distilled prior to use. All reactions were carried out under an Ar atmosphere unless otherwise stated. Toluene was distilled from sodium, CH₂Cl₂ from CaH₂. Anh. Me₂SO and DMF, stored over molecular sieves, were purchased from *Fluka*. All products were dried under high vacuum $(10^{-2}$ Torr) before anal. characterization. The preparation of the following compounds has been reported in the literature: 5 [16], 6 [2b] [7b], 8 [17], 12 [35], 13 [7], 15 [22], 16 [36], 17 [36], 21 [24]. Flash chromatography (FC): SiO₂ from *Fluka* or *Merck* 230–400 mesh. Prep. gravity gel permeation chromatography (GPC): BIO-RAD Beads SX-1 (pore size $200-400 \mu m$) as stationary phase at amb. pressure and temp.; eluent: CH_2Cl_2 ; 10–20 drops min⁻¹; fractions of 5–10 ml. Anal. TLC: precoated $SiO₂$ glass plates with F-254 fluorescent indicator; visualization by UV light at 254 nm or by staining with a soln. of $(NH_4)_6Mo_7O_{24}$ 6 H_2O (20 g) and $Ce(SO_4)_2$ (0.4 g) in 10% aq. H_2SO_4 (400 ml). M.p.: Büchi Melting Point B-540; uncorrected. UV/VIS Spectra [nm]: Varian Cary 500 Scan spectrophotometer. IR Spectra $\lceil \text{cm}^{-1} \rceil$: Perkin-Elmer 1600-FT-IR spectrometer or a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum BX II. NMR (¹H and ¹³C) Spectra [ppm]: Varian Gemini 300, Varian Mercury 300, or Bruker AMX-500 spectrometers; spectra were recorded at r.t. with the solvent peak as reference. FT-ICR-MALDI-MS: Ion Spec Ultima FT-ICR-MS (337 nm N₂ laser system); matrix: 3-HPA (3-hydroxypicolinic acid) or DCTB ({(2E)-3-[4-(tert-butyl)phenyl]-2-methylprop-2-enylidene}malonitrile). EI-MS: VG Analytical Tribrid, USA. Elemental analyses were performed by the Mikrolabor at the Laboratorium für Organische *Chemie, ETH-Zürich.* The names of compounds $3, 4, 14, 18, 23$, and 25 were generated using the cyclophane nomenclature [37].

Electrochemical Measurements. All electrochemical measurements were performed with the CHI 440 Electrochemical Workstation (CH Instruments Inc., Austin, Texas). 0.1M Bu₄NPF₆ (from Fluka) was used as the supporting electrolyte in redistilled CH₂Cl₂, degassed with Ar. Pt Wire was employed as the counter electrode. An aq. Ag/AgCl electrode, separated by a $0.1M$ Bu₄NPF₆ salt-bridge, was

used as the reference. Ferrocene (Fc) was added as an internal reference, and all potentials were referenced relative to the Fc/Fc⁺ couple. A glassy C electrode (*CHI*, 3 mm in diameter), polished with $1.0-0.3$ μ m Al paste and ultrasonicated in deionized H₂O and a CH₂Cl₂ bath, was used as the working electrode. The scan rates for cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) were 100 and 4 mV/s, resp. For the DPV measurements, the amplitude was 50 mV, and the pulse width was 0.05 s. All experiments were performed at 293 ± 2 K.

5,6-Diamino-1,3-benzodithiol-2-thione (9) and 5H-[1,3]Dithiolo[4,5-f]benzimidazol-2,6(7H)-di*thione* (10). Compound 8 (2.44 g, 11 mmol) was added as a solid to a soln. of Na₂S·9 H₂O (8.71 g, 96.3) mmol) in degassed H₂O (135 ml), and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at 70°. After cooling to 50°, CS₂ $(1.4 \text{ ml}, 23.2 \text{ mmol})$ was added dropwise, and stirring was continued for 2 h at 50° and 3 h at 20° . The yellow precipitate was isolated by filtration, washed with H₂O, and dried under high vacuum (10^{-2} Torr). FC (SiO₂; pentane/THF 1:1) afforded 9 (1.20 g, 52%) and 10 (0.46 g, 16%).

Data of 9. Orange solid. M.p.: 213-215°. IR (neat): 3375, 3292, 3188, 1615, 1553, 1481, 1405, 1282, 1046, 1026. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO): 6.81 (s, 2 H); 5.12 (s, 4 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO): 209.8; 136.6; 127.7; 105.3. EI-HR-MS: 213.9687 $(M^+, C_7H_6N_2S_3^+$; calc. 213.9693).

Data of 10. Yellow solid. M.p. $>$ 300°. IR (neat): 3147, 3039, 2910, 2357, 1597, 1489, 1455, 1325, 1166, 1057, 1031, 1018. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO): 12.88 (s, 2H); 7.65 (s, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, $(CD_3)_2$ SO): 212.5; 169.7; 133.9; 132.7; 103.1. HR-EI-MS: 255.9252 (M^+ , $C_8H_4N_2S_4^+$; calc. 255.9257).

2-Thioxo-5,8-dihydro[1,3]dithiolo[4,5-g]quinoxaline-6,7-dione (11). A suspension of 9 (890mg, 4.2 mmol) in diethyl oxalate (50 ml) was stirred for 16 h at 165 $^{\circ}$, then cooled to 20 $^{\circ}$, and filtered. The product was washed with EtOH and dried (10^{-2} Torr) to give 11 (1.00 g, 90%). M.p. > 300°. IR (neat): 3256, 3028, 2914, 1682, 1439, 1377, 1328, 1197, 1057. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO): 12.22 (s, 2 H); 7.49 (s, 2 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO): 212.2; 154.5; 134.2; 126.2; 108.1.

6,7-Dichloro[1,3]dithiolo[4,5-g]quinoxaline-2-thione (7). To a suspension of 11 (2.00 g, 7.5 mmol) in DMF (22 ml), COCl₂ (20% soln. in toluene, 12.6 ml, 24 mmol) was added. After stirring for 3 d, CH₂Cl₂ was added, and the mixture was filtered through $SiO₂$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by FC (SiO₂; pentane/CH₂Cl₂ 1 : 1) to give 11 (1.27 g, 56%). Yellow solid. M.p. 240°. IR (neat): 3059, 2920, 2852, 1651, 1587, 1437, 1328, 1259, 1145, 1091, 1060, 1005. ¹ H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): 8.02 (s, 2 H). 13C-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): 210.8; 146.7; 145.4; 139.1; 119.9. HR-MALDI-MS (DCTB): $303.8758 \ (M^+,\ C_9H_2Cl_2N_2S_3^+$; calc. 303.8757). Anal. calc. for $C_9H_2N_2S_3Cl_2$ (305.21): C 35.42, H 0.66, N 9.18; found: C 35.55, H 0.72, N 9.19.

(17S,18R,19R,20S)-17,18,19,20-Tetrahexyl-2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16-octaoxa-3(6,7)-([1,3]dithiolo[4,5-g] quinoxalina)-7,11,15(2,3)-triquinoxalina-1,5,9,13(1,2,4,5)-tetrabenzenapentacyclo[11.3.1.1^{1,5}.1^{5,9}.1^{9,13}]icosa*phane-3²-thione* (4). A suspension of 6 (150 mg, 0.12 mmol), 7 (38 mg, 0.12 mmol), and Cs₂CO₃ (48 mg, 0.15 mmol) in dry Me₂SO (7 ml) was stirred for 48 h at 50 $^{\circ}$. After cooling to 20 $^{\circ}$, the mixture was poured into H₂O. The formed precipitate was isolated by filtration, air-dried, and purified by FC (SiO₂; CH₂Cl₂ AcOEt 97:3) to give 4 (127 mg, 74%). Yellow solid. M.p. > 300°. IR (neat): 3064, 2925, 2853, 1734, 1576, 1478, 1413, 1396, 1328, 1264, 1158, 1062. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.18 (s, 2 H); 8.11 (s, 2 H); 7.90– 7.75 (m, 6 H); 7.73 (s, 2 H); 7.63 – 7.48 (m, 6 H); 7.23 (s, 2 H); 7.21 (s, 2 H); 5.66 – 5.56 (m, 3 H); 5.51 (t, $J=8.2, 1$ H); 2.35 – 2.20 (m, 8 H); 1.58 – 1.30 (m, 32 H); 0.94 (t, $J=6.7, 12$ H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): 211.3; 153.2; 152.4; 152.3; 152.2; 152.1; 142.0; 139.5; 139.4; 139.3; 138.0; 135.9; 135.6; 135.6; 135.4; 129.2; 129.2; 128.9; 127.8; 127.6; 127.2; 123.4; 123.2; 119.2; 118.6; 118.6; 34.4; 32.7; 32.4; 32.0; 29.5; 28.0; 22.8; 14.2. HR-MALDI-MS (DCTB): 1434.5124 (M^+ , $C_{85}H_{78}N_8O_8S_3^+$; calc. 1434.5105).

(17S,18R,19R,20S)-32 -(1,3-Benzodithiol-2-ylidene)-17,18,19,20-tetrahexyl-2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16-octaoxa-3(6,7)-([1,3]dithiolo[4,5-g]quinoxalina)-7,11,15(2,3)-triquinoxalina-1,5,9,13(1,2,4,5)-tetrabenzenapentacyclo[11.3.1.1^{1,5}.1^{5,9}.19,¹³]icosaphane (3). To a stirred suspension of 4 (100 mg, 70 µmol) in P(OEt)₃ (0.7 ml) at 130°, a soln. of 5 (51 mg, 280 µmol) in toluene (1 ml) was added. After stirring for 6 h at 130°, the mixture was cooled to 20° and the solvent removed in vacuo. Purification by FC (SiO_2 ; CH_2Cl_2) afforded 3 (9 mg, 9%) and 12 (18 mg, 42%).

Data of 3: Red solid. M.p. > 300°. IR (neat): 2924, 2853, 2289, 2050, 1979, 1700, 1602, 1570, 1481, 1414, 1398, 1363, 1328, 1263, 1221, 1186, 1158, 1118, 1097, 1062. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 50°): 8.20 (s, 2 H); 8.13 (s, 2 H); 7.86 – 7.74 (m, 8 H); 7.66 – 7.44 (m, 10H); 7.24 (s, 2 H); 7.23 (s, 2 H); 5.70– 5.55 $(m, 4 H)$; 2.35 – 2.20 $(m, 8 H)$; 1.58 – 1.27 $(m, 32 H)$; 0.94 $(t, J=6.6, 12 H)$. ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃,

508): 152.5; 152.4; 152.3; 152.3; 140.0; 139.6; 139.6; 139.5; 138.2; 135.8; 135.8; 135.7; 135.7; 129.1; 129.1; 129.0; 128.9; 127.8; 127.6; 127.4; 123.3; 123.2; 118.9; 118.7; 118.7; 34.3; 32.5; 31.9; 29.7; 29.4; 28.0; 22.7; 14.0. HR-MALDI-MS (3-HPA): 1555.5180 ($[M+H]^+$, $C_{92}H_{83}N_8O_8S_4^+$; calc. 1555.5211).

(17s,18s,19s,20s)-17,18,19,20-Tetrahexyl-2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16-octaoxa-3,11(6,7)-bis([1,3]dithiolo[4,5-g] quinoxalina)-7,15(2,3)-diquinoxalina-1,5,9,13(1,2,4,5)-tetrabenzenapentacyclo[11.3.1.1^{1,5}.1^{5,9}.1^{9,13}]icosapha*ne-3²*,11²-dithione (**14**). A suspension of **13** (505 mg, 0.47 mmol), **7** (287 mg, 0.94 mmol), and K_2CO_3 (155 mg, 1.12 mmol) in dry Me₂SO (32 ml) was stirred for 16 h at 60° . After cooling to 20° , the mixture was poured into H2O. The formed precipitate was isolated by filtration, washed with H2O, air-dried, and purified by FC (SiO₂; CH₂Cl₂/AcOEt 99 : 1 \rightarrow 98 : 2) to give **14** (400 mg, 56%). Yellow solid. M.p. > 300°. IR (neat): 3070, 2920, 2848, 1576, 1478, 1414, 1396, 1328, 1261, 1197, 1155, 1065. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.07 (s, 4 H); 7.79 (s, 4 H); 7.80–7.75 (m, 4 H); 7.61–7.55 (m, 4 H); 7.19 (s, 4 H); 5.49 (t, $J=7.7, 2$ H); 5.41 (t, $J=7.8, 2$ H); 2.26–2.18 (m, 8 H); 1.58–1.24 (m, 32 H); 0.92 (t, $J=6.7, 12$ H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 210.6; 153.2; 152.8; 152.5; 142.7; 139.7; 138.4; 136.1; 135.6; 129.6; 127.8; 123.7; 119.5; 118.7; 34.7; 34.7; 32.6; 32.6; 32.1; 29.5; 28.1; 22.9; 14.3. HR-MALDI-MS (3-HPA): 1541.4156 ($[M+H]^+$, C₈₆H₇₇N₈O₈S₆⁺; calc. 1541.4183).

(17s,18s,19s,20s)-17,18,19,20-Tetrahexyl-2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16-octaoxa-3,11(6,7)-bis([1,3]dithiolo[4,5-g] quinoxalina)-7,15(2,3)-diquinoxalina-1,5,9,13(1,2,4,5)-tetrabenzenapentacyclo[11.3.1.1^{1,5}.15,9.19,13]icosapha $ne-3^2$,11²-dithione (**18**). A suspension of **17** (360 mg, 0.27 mmol), **7** (162 mg, 0.53 mmol), and K₂CO₃ (88 mg, 0.63 mmol) in dry Me₂SO (20 ml) was stirred for 16 h at 60°. After cooling to 20°, the mixture was poured into H₂O. The formed precipitate was isolated by filtration, washed with H₂O, air-dried and purified by FC (SiO₂; CH₂Cl₂ \rightarrow CH₂Cl₂/AcOEt 98:2) to give **18** (347 mg, 72%). Yellow solid. M.p. $> 280^\circ$. IR (neat): 2921, 2850, 1647, 1559, 1415, 1399, 1368, 1330, 1259, 1160, 1115, 1066, 1014. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.07 (s, 4 H); 7.80 (s, 4 H); 7.81 – 7.75 (m, 4 H); 7.62 – 7.56 (m, 4 H); 7.18 (s, 4 H); 5.49 $(dd, J=8.2, 7.4, 2 H$; 5.41 $(dd, J=8.2, 8.0, 2 H$; 2.30 – 2.18 $(m, 8 H)$; 1.50 – 1.20 $(m, 72 H)$; 0.89 $(t,$ J=6.5, 12 H). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): 210.6; 153.2; 152.8; 152.5; 142.7; 139.7; 138.4; 136.0; 135.6; 129.6; 127.8; 123.7; 119.5; 118.7; 34.7; 32.6; 32.2; 30.0; 29.7; 28.1; 22.9; 14.4. HR-MALDI-MS (3-HPA): 1821.7279 $([M+H]^+, C_{106}H_{117}N_8O_8S_6^+$; calc. 1821.7313). Anal. calc. for $C_{106}H_{116}N_8O_8S_6$ (1822.50): C 69.86, H 6.42, N 6.15; found: C 69.93, H 6.55, N 6.31.

4,5-Bis(hexylsulfanyl)-1,3-dithiol-2-thione (22). To a suspension of 21 (354 mg, 0.49 mmol) in MeCN (7 ml), 1-bromohexane (0.34 ml, 2.45 mmol) was added, and the mixture was heated to reflux for 24 h. After cooling to 20° , the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. After addition of CH₂Cl₂ and washing with H₂O, the org. phase was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by FC (SiO₂; pentane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1) afforded **22** (290 mg, 81%). Yellowish oil. IR (neat): 2955, 2922, 2854, 2359, 2127, 1759, 1671, 1459, 1375, 1288, 1244, 1062. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 2.85 (*t*, $J=7.3$, 4 H); 1.63 (quint., $J=7.3$, 4 H); 1.45 – 1.20 (m, 12 H); 0.87 (t, $J=6.9$, 6 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 210.9; 136.1; 36.8; 31.4; 29.7; 28.3; 22.6; 14.2. EI-HR-MS: 366.0634 (M^+ , C₁₅H₂₆S⁺₅; calc. 366.0638).

 $4,5-Bis(hexylsulfanyl)-1,3-dithiol-2-one$ (20). To a soln. of 22 (1.80 g, 4.9 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (150 ml), $Hg(OAc)_2$ (4.64 g, 14.7 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 20°, then it was filtered and the filtrate concentrated in vacuo. Purification by FC (SiO₂; pentane/CH₂Cl₂ 1:1) afforded **20** (1.39 g, 81%). Yellowish oil. IR (neat): 2924, 2854, 2360, 2217, 1752, 1668, 1605, 1455, 1293, 1101. ¹H-NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$: 2.83 $(t, J=7.4, 4 \text{ H})$; 1.70 – 1.58 $(m, 4 \text{ H})$; 1.46 – 1.21 $(m, 12 \text{ H})$; 0.88 $(t, J=6.9, 6)$ H). 13C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): 190.4; 127.4; 36.9; 31.5; 29.8; 28.4; 22.7; 14.2. EI-HR-MS: 350.0859 $(M^+$, C₁₅H₂₆OS₄⁺; calc. 350.0867).

(17s,18s,19s,20s)-32 ,112 -Bis[4,5-bis(hexylsulfanyl)-1,3-dithiol-2-ylidene]-17,18,19,20-tetraundecyl-2,4, 6,8,10,12,14,16-octaoxa-3,11(6,7)-bis([1,3]dithiolo[4,5-g]quinoxalina)-7,15(2,3)-diquinoxalina-1,5,9,13- $(1,2,4,5)$ -tetrabenzenapentacyclo[11.3.1.1^{1,5}.1^{5,9}.1^{9,13}]icosaphane (23), 4,4',5,5'-Tetrakis(hexylsulfanyl)-2,2'bi-1,3-dithiol (24), and (17S,18S,19S,20S)-17,18,19,20-Tetraundecyl-2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16-octaoxa-3,11(6,7)bis([1,3]dithiolo[4,5-g]quinoxalina)-7,15(2,3)-diquinoxalina-1,5,9,13(1,2,4,5)-tetrabenzenahexacyclo- $[11.3.1.1^{1.5}.1^{5.9}.1^{9.13}.0^{3.11}]$ icosaphan-3²(11²)-ene (25a). To a stirred suspension of 18 (100 mg, 55 µmol) in $P(OEt)$ ₃ (0.7 ml) at 130°, a soln. of 20 (115 mg, 330 µmol) in toluene (1 ml) was added. After stirring for 16 h at 130 $^{\circ}$, the mixture was cooled to 20 $^{\circ}$, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by FC (SiO₂; CH₂Cl₂) and GPC (CH₂Cl₂) afforded 23 (12 mg, 9%), 24 (99 mg, 45%), and 25a (1 mg, $\lt 1\%$).

Data of 23. Red solid. M.p. > 280°. IR (neat): 3069, 2924, 2852, 2324, 2050, 1979, 1694, 1607, 1576, 1481, 1467, 1456, 1414, 1398, 1363, 1329, 1262, 1221, 1186, 1159, 1117, 1062, 1019. ¹ H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3): 8.19 (s, 4 H); 7.82 – 7.78 (m, 4 H); 7.70– 7.63 (m, 4 H); 7.49 (s, 4 H); 7.17 (s, 4 H); 5.57 (t, $J=8.4, 2 H$); 5.51 (t, $J=7.9, 2 H$); 2.97 – 2.77 (m, 8 H); 2.29 – 2.16 (m, 8 H); 1.73 – 1.62 (m, 8 H); 1.50–1.22 (m, 96 H); 0.94–0.86 (m, 24 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 152.5; 152.4; 152.3; 152.2; 140.4; 139.5; 138.2; 135.6; 135.5; 129.4; 127.8; 127.5; 123.3; 118.7; 118.6; 115.1; 107.9; 36.4; 34.1; 32.4; 32.2; 31.9; 31.2; 29.6; 29.3; 28.1; 27.8; 22.6; 22.5; 14.0; 14.0. HR-MALDI-MS (3-HPA): 2424.9562 (M⁺, $C_{136}H_{168}N_8O_8S_{12}^+$; calc. 2424.9633).

Data of 24. Orange solid. M.p. 26-28°. IR (neat): 2951, 2921, 2854, 2359, 1674, 1458, 1418, 1374, 1306, 1258, 1206, 1109. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 2.81 (t, J = 7.4, 8 H); 1.62 (quint., J = 7.4, 8 H); 1.47 - 1.20 (m, 24 H); 0.87 (t, J = 6.9, 12 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 128.0; 110.4; 36.5; 31.5; 29.9; 28.4; 22.8; 14.3. EI-HR-MS: 668.1830 (M^+ , C₃₀H₅₂S₈⁺; calc. 668.1836).

Data of 25a. White solid. M.p. 239-242°. IR (neat): 2921, 2848, 2358, 2051, 1979, 1732, 1576, 1466, 1414, 1399, 1366, 1330, 1259, 1159, 1115, 1075. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.22 (s, 4 H); 8.20-8.12 $(m, 4\text{H})$; 8.08–8.00 $(m, 4\text{H})$; 7.25 (s, 4 H); 7.11 (s, 4 H); 5.71 (t, J=8.4, 2 H); 5.57 (t, J=8.1, 2 H); $2.34 - 2.08$ (m, 8 H); 1.60–1.20 (m, 72 H); 0.92–0.80 (m, 12 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 153.2; 153.0; 152.9; 152.3; 139.8; 138.8; 137.8; 136.8; 136.0; 135.7; 130.6; 127.6; 123.2; 121.6; 119.2; 34.0; 33.7; 33.3; 31.9; 31.6; 29.8; 29.7; 29.4; 29.4; 27.9; 22.7; 14.1. HR-MALDI-MS (3-HPA): 1757.790 ([M+H]⁺, $C_{106}H_{117}N_8O_8S_4^+$; calc. 1757.787).

(17s,18s,19s,20s)-17,18,19,20-Tetrahexyl-2,4,6,8,10,12,14,16-octaoxa-3,11(6,7)-bis([1,3]dithiolo[4,5-g] quinoxalina)-7,15(2,3)-diquinoxalina-1,5,9,13(1,2,4,5)-tetrabenzenahexacyclo[11.3.1.1^{1,5}.1^{5,9}.1^{9,13}.0^{3,11}]icosaphan-3²(11²)-ene (25b). A suspension of 14 (100 mg, 65 µmol) in P(OEt)₃ was heated to 130°. Toluene (1 ml) was added, and heating at 130° was continued for 16 h. Evaporation in vacuo and FC (SiO₂; CH_2Cl_2), followed by GPC (CH_2Cl_2), provided 25b (7 mg, 8%). White solid. M.p. 227°. IR (neat): 2920, 2851, 2358, 2051, 1979, 1734, 1580, 1479, 1466, 1443, 1414, 1396, 1361, 1329, 1262, 1222, 1186, 1154, 1116, 1075. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): 8.22 (s, 4 H); 8.20–8.12 (m, 4 H); 8.08–8.00 (m, 4 H); 7.25 (s, 4 H); 7.11 (s, 4 H); 5.71 (t, $J=8.4$, 2 H); 5.57 (t, $J=8.1$, 2 H); 2.34 – 2.08 (m, 8 H); 1.56 – 1.24 (m, 32 H); 0.96 – 0.86 (m, 12 H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): 153.4; 153.3; 153.1; 152.5; 140.0; 139.0; 138.0; 137.0; 136.2; 135.9; 130.8; 127.9; 123.4; 121.8; 119.4; 33.9; 33.6; 31.9; 31.5; 29.6; 27.9; 22.6; 14.0. HR-MALDI-MS (3-HPA): 1477.4771 $([M + H]^+, C_{86}H_{77}N_8O_8S_4^+$; calc. 1477.4747).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. R. Moran, S. Karbach, D. J. Cram, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5826; J. R. Moran, J. L. Ericson, E. Dalcanale, J. A. Bryant, C. B. Knobler, D. J. Cram, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 5707; D. J. Cram, H.-J. Choi, J. A. Bryant, C. B. Knobler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7748; D. J. Cram, J. M. Cram, 'Container Molecules and Their Guests', Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 1994, pp. $107 - 130.$
- [2] a) M. Vincenti, E. Dalcanale, P. Soncini, G. Guglielmetti, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 445; b) P. Soncini, S. Bonsignore, E. Dalcanale, F. Ugozzoli, J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 4608.
- [3] F. Hof, S. L. Craig, C. Nuckolls, J. Rebek Jr., Angew. Chem. 2002, 114, 1556; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
- 2002, 41, 1488; J. Rebek Jr., Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 2104; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2068.
- [4] P. J. Skinner, A. G. Cheetham, A. Beeby, V. Gramlich, F. Diederich, Helv. Chim. Acta 2001, 84, 2146.
- [5] M. Frei, F. Marotti, F. Diederich, Chem. Commun. 2004, 1362.
- [6] a) V. A. Azov, B. Jaun, F. Diederich, Helv. Chim. Acta 2004, 87, 449; b) P. Roncucci, L. Pirondini, G. Paderni, C. Massera, E. Dalcanale, V. A. Azov, F. Diederich, Chem.–Eur. J. 2006, 12, 4775.
- [7] a) V. A. Azov, P. J. Skinner, Y. Yamakoshi, P. Seiler, V. Gramlich, F. Diederich, Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 3648; b) V. A. Azov, F. Diederich, Y. Lill, B. Hecht, Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 2149; c) F. Diederich, V. A. Azov, A. Schlegel, Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 4711; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4635.
- [8] V. A. Azov, A. Beeby, M. Cacciarini, A. G. Cheetham, F. Diederich, M. Frei, J. K. Gimzewski, V. Gramlich, B. Hecht, B. Jaun, T. Latychevskaia, A. Lieb, Y. Lill, F. Marotti, A. Schlegel, R. R. Schlittler, P. J. Skinner, P. Seiler, Y. Yamakoshi, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2006, 16, 147.
- [9] J. L. Segura, N. Martin, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 1416; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1372.
- [10] M. Asakawa, P. R. Ashton, V. Balzani, A. Credi, C. Hamers, G. Mattersteig, M. Montalti, A. N. Shipway, N. Spencer, J. F. Stoddart, M. S. Tolley, M. Venturi, A. J. P. White, D. J. Williams, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 357; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 333; J. Lau, M. B. Nielsen, N. Thorup, M. P. Cava, J. Becher, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 3335; J. O. Jeppesen, J. Perkins, J. Becher, J. F. Stoddart, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 1256; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 1216; Y. Liu, A. H. Flood, P. A. Bonvallet, S. A. Vignon, B. H. Northrop, H.-R. Tseng, J. O. Jeppesen, T. J. Huang, B. Brough, M. Baller, S. Magonov, S. D. Solares, W. A. Goddard, C.-M. Ho, J. F. Stoddart, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9745.
- [11] F. C. Tucchi, D. M. Rudkevich, J. Rebek Jr., J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4555.
- [12] M. Irie, Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1685; Special issue on molecular machines: Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 410; 'Molecular Switches', Ed. B. L. Feringa, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2001.
- [13] H. D. Hartzler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 1412; H. D. Hartzler, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 4379; E. M. Engler, B. A. Scott, S. Etemad, T. Penney, V. V. Patel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 5909; E. M. Engler, V. V. Patel, J. R. Andersen, R. R. Schumaker, A. A. Fukushima, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3769; L.-Y. Chiang, P. Shu, D. Holt, D. Cowan, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4713; K. B. Simonsen, N. Sventrup, J. Lau, O. Simonsen, P. Mork, G. J. Kristensen, J. Becher, Synthesis 1996, 407.
- [14] M. G. Miles, J. D. Wilson, D. J. Dahm, J. H. Wagenknecht, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 751; M. G. Miles, J. S. Wager, J. D. Wilson, A. R. Siedle, J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 2577; S. Yoneda, T. Kawase, M. Inaba, Z.-I. Yoshida, J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 595; J. M. Fabre, Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 5133.
- [15] R. D. McCullough, M. A. Petruska, J. A. Belot, Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 9979.
- [16] W. R. H. Hurtley, S. Smiles, J. Chem. Soc. 1926, 1821.
- [17] C. Raby, Ann. Chim. **1961**, 6, 481.
- [18] J. L. Brusso, O. P. Clements, R. C. Haddon, M. E. Itkis, A. A. Leitch, R. T. Oakley, R. W. Reed, J. F. Richardson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8256.
- [19] R. Sarges, H. R. Howard, R. G. Browne, L. A. Lebel, P. A. Seymour, B. K. Koe, J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 2240.
- [20] I. Satoru, S. Mitsumasa, T. Kiyoshi, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1994, 31, 1433.
- [21] a) P. P. Castro, G. Zhao, G. A. Masangkay, C. Hernandez, L. M. Gutierrez-Tunstad, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 333; b) S.-W. Kang, P. P. Castro, G. Zhao, J. E. Nunez, C. E. Godinez, L.-M. Gutierrez-Tunstad, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1240.
- [22] Y. Aoyama, Y. Tanaka, S. Sugahara, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 5397.
- [23] G. Steimecke, H.-J. Sieler, R. Kirmse, E. Hoyer, Phosphorous Sulfur 1979, 7, 49.
- [24] J. C. Lodmell, W. C. Anderson, M. F. Hurley, J. Q. Chambers, Anal. Chim. Acta 1981, 129, 49.
- [25] Y. Hu, Y. Shen, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2002, 39, 1071.
- [26] S. Hünig, G. Kiesslich, H. Quast, D. Scheutzow, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1973, 310.
- [27] Spartan 02, PC Version, Wavefunction Inc., 18401 Von Karman Avenue, Irvine, CA 92612, 2002.
- [28] a) J. Röhrich, P. Wolf, V. Enkelmann, K. Müllen, Angew. Chem. 1988, 100, 1429; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1988, 27, 1377; b) M. Adam, V. Enkelmann, H.-J. Räder, J. Röhrich, K. Müllen, Angew. Chem. 1992, 104, 331; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1992, 31, 309.
- [29] T. Jørgensen, B. Girmay, T. K. Hansen, J. Becher, A. E. Underhill, M. B. Hursthouse, M. E. Harman, J. D. Kilburn, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1992, 2907.
- [30] R. C. Haddon, Science 1993, 261, 1545.
- [31] W. Devonport, M. R. Bryce, G. J. Marshallsay, A. J. Moore, L. M. Goldenberg, J. Mater. Chem. 1998, 8, 1361; D. C. Green, J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1476; G. Schukat, E. Fanghänel, J. Prakt. Chem. 1985, 327, 767.
- [32] D. L. Lichtenberger, R. L. Johnston, K. Hinkelmann, T. Suzuki, F. Wudl, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3302; E. Aqad, M. V. Lakshmikantham, M. P. Cava, R. M. Metzger, V. Khodorkhovsky, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 768.
- [33] M. Jørgensen, K. A. Lerstrup, K. Bechgaard, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 5684; P. Blanchard, N. Svenstrup, J. Becher, Chem. Commun. 1996, 615; F. Le Derf, E. Levillain, G. Trippé, A. Gorgues,

M. Sallé, R.-M. Sebastian, A.-M. Caminade, J.-P. Majoral, Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 230; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 224.

- [34] J. Lyskawa, M. Sallé, J.-Y. Balandier, F. Le Derf, E. Levillain, M. Allain, P. Viel, S. Palacin, Chem. Commun. 2006, 2233.
- [35] A. E.-W. Sarhan, T. Izumi, J. Chem. Res., Synop. 2002, 11.
- [36] M. Cacciarini, V. A. Azov, P. Seiler, H. Künzer, F. Diederich, Chem. Commun. 2005, 5269.
- [37] W. H. Powell, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1998**, 70, 1513; H. A. Favre, D. Hellwinkel, W. H. Powell, H. A. Smith Jr., S. S.-C. Tsay, Pure Appl. Chem. 2002, 74, 809.

Received June 27, 2006